# An Algorithm for Constraint/Generator Removal from Double Description of Polyhedra

#### Sergey Bastrakov<sup>a</sup>, Nikolai Zolotykh<sup>a, b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Lobachevsky State University of Nizhni Novgorod <sup>b</sup> HSE Branch in Nizhny Novgorod

#### NET 2015

#### Contents



#### 2 Double Description Method



## Convex Polyhedra

#### Definition

**Convex polyhedron** in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a set of solutions to a finite system of linear inequalities:

$$P = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Ax \ge b \right\}$$

Each polyhedron can be represented in two ways:

- Facet representation as a set of constraints:  $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Ax \ge b\}$
- Vertex representation as a set generators:  $P = \operatorname{conv}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) + \operatorname{cone}(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m)$

### Example: Facet and Vertex Representations



### Converting Between Representations

• Vertex enumeration problem: given facet representation, find vertex representation:

$$Ax \geq b \longrightarrow rac{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n}{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m}$$

• Facet enumeration problem: given vertex representation, find facet representation:

$$v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \longrightarrow Ax \ge b$$
  
 $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m$ 

Facet/vertex enumeration problems can be reduced to one another using duality  $\Rightarrow$  essentially the same **problem of computing dual representation** 

Sergey Bastrakov (UNN)

Vertex and facet representations are equivalent descriptively, but not computationally

- Graphical applications in 2D and 3D
- Cutting plane algorithms, e.g. in ILP and DC programming
- Polyhedral method of loop nest optimization in compilers (e.g. Graphite for gcc, Polly for LLVM)
- Dynamic Groebner basis construction algorithms
- Many others

### Double Description Method

- **DDM** [Motzkin, etc., 1953] is one of the most widely used algorithms for computing dual representation
- Operates with polyhedral cones. Homogenization:

$$P = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Ax \ge b 
ight\} \longrightarrow$$
  
 $C = \left\{ (x_0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : Ax - bx_0 \ge 0, x_0 \ge 0 
ight\}$ 

- Input:  $C = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Ax \ge 0 \right\}$
- Output:  $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$ :  $C = \operatorname{cone}(u_1, u_2, ..., u_n)$
- Incremental algorithm: start with a subsystem of inequalities, process one inequality per step.

## Step of DDM

• Input: 
$$C = \{x : Ax \ge 0\} = \operatorname{cone}(U)$$

- Output:  $U': \{x \in C : ax \ge 0\} = \operatorname{cone}(U')$
- Classify extreme rays of C onto three groups:

$$U_+ = \{u \in U : au > 0\} - feasible$$

$$U_0 = \{u \in U : au = 0\} - \text{boundary}$$
$$U_- = \{u \in U : au < 0\} - \text{infeasible}$$

- For each edge  $(u_+, u_-) \in U_+ imes U_-$  make a combination  $u_\pm = (-au_-)u_+ + (au_+)u_-$
- $U' = U_+ \cup U_0 \cup U_\pm$ , where  $U_\pm$  is all combinations

## Adjacency Tests

- The key operation is finding all edges  $(u_+, u_-)$ .
- It is done using the incidence sets J<sub>+</sub>, J<sub>-</sub>: indices of inequalities that are satisfied as equalities
- Pairwise tests:
  - Necessary condition  $|A(J_+ \cap J_-)| \ge \operatorname{rank}(A) 2$
  - Algebraic test:  $rank(A(J_+ \cap J_-)) = rank(A) 2$
  - Combinatorial test:

 $\not\exists w \in U : w \neq u_+, w \neq u_-, J_+ \cap J_- \subseteq J_w$ 

- Using trees to avoid pairwise enumeration
  - *k*-d trees: Terzer & Stelling'2008
  - We use a modification of their approach

- Open source implementation of DDM and Fourier-Chernikov elimination algorithm
- Hybrid DDM with ideas of Quickhull [Bastrakov & Zolotykh, 2011]
- Graph modification, *k*-d trees for adjacency in DDM and Fourier-Chernikov elimination
- Support for parallel computing
- https://github.com/sbastrakov/qskeleton/

## Constraint/Generator Removal Problem

- Given irreducible facet and vertex representations (double description) of a polyhedron *P*
- **Constraint removal problem**: find vertex representation of a polyhedron *Q* defined by a subset of constraints of *P*.
- Generator removal problem: find facet representation of a polyhedron *Q* generated by a subset of generators of *P*.
- The problems are dual to one another, consider generator removal problem
- Important for polyhedral loop optimization

## Constraint Removal Algorithms

#### Naive algorithm

- $\bullet\,$  Solve vertex representation problem for Q
- Ignore the given double description of *P*

#### Incremental algorithm

- Suggested by Amato, Scozzari & Zaffanella'2014
- Main idea: solve vertex representation problem for a subset of constraints of *Q* using DDM

#### We present a **new algorithm**

- Does not involve solving vertex representation problem
- Uses facet and ray adjacency information of *P*

### A new constraint removal algorithm

- Use homogenization to transform from polyhedron to a polyhedral cone
- Remove constraints one by one:

$$\{x: Ax \ge 0, \alpha x \ge 0\} \longrightarrow \{x: Ax \ge 0\}$$

• Due to irreducibility, each inequality defines a facet of the cone

### Removing a Constraint

**Input**: 
$$C = \{Ax \ge 0, ax \ge 0\} = \text{cone}(U)$$
  
**Output**:  $U' : C' = \{Ax \ge 0\} = \text{cone}(U')$ 

• 
$$U_+ := \{ u \in U : au > 0 \}, U_0 := \emptyset, U_- := \emptyset$$

• 
$$F_{adj} := \{ \text{facets of } C \text{ adjacent to the removed facet} \}$$

- $E_{adj} := \{ edges of C that intersect the removed facet \}$
- For each  $(u, v) \in E_{adj}$ : au = 0, av > 0
  - Consider a ray that is a continuation to ax < 0
  - If it intersects a facet from  $F_{adj}$ , add the first intersection point to  $U_{-}$
  - Otherwise add u to  $U_0$
- Return  $U_+ \cup U_0 \cup U_-$

## Proof of Correctness (Sketch)

- Consider inverse operation: a step of DDM from  $C' = \{Ax \ge 0\}$  to  $C = \{Ax \ge 0, ax \ge 0\}$
- During DDM  $U' = U'_+ \cup U'_0 \cup U'_-$
- Need to show that  $U_+=U_+^\prime$ ,  $U_0=U_0^\prime$ ,  $U_-=U_-^\prime$
- $U_+ = U_+'$  is obvious
- Proof of  $U_0 = U'_0$  and  $U_- = U'_-$  relies on rules for making combinations in DDM, adjacency conditions and irreducibility of the double description of C.

## Complexity

$$C = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Ax \ge 0 \right\} = \operatorname{cone} \left( u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \right), A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$$

Suppose we find  $F_{adj}$  and  $E_{adj}$  using pairwise Algebraic test, all arithmetic operations take O(1)

#### Theorem

The complexity of removing a constraint of C is  $O(m^2n^2)$  for every fixed d.

#### Theorem

The complexity of sequential removing k constraints of C is  $O(k m^{2+2\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor})$  for every fixed d.

## Comparison to the Incremental Algorithm

• Complexity of the proposed algorithm:

- $O(m^2n^2)$  for removing a single constraint
- $O(k m^{2+2\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor})$  for removing k constraints
- Incremental algorithm mainly performs DDM on  $F_{adj}$ .
- For standard DDM with pairwise Algebraic adjacency test the complexity of the incremental algorithm is

$$O(|F_{adj}|^{2+2\lfloor (d-1)/2\rfloor})$$

• If  $|F_{adj}| pprox m$  the naive algorithm is probably the best

Polyhedron	n <sub>del</sub>	$T_{naive}[s]$	$\mathbf{T}_{incr.}[s]$	$T_{proposed}[s]$
сссб	1	4.2	0.01	0.01
сссб	10	3.8	2.3	1.6
sampleh8	1	35.6	5.2	4.8
sampleh8	10	42.9	12.5	7.3
trunc10	1	1.5	0.1	0.1
trunc10	10	6.1	6.2	4.3
$C4(20) \times C4(20)$	1	101.2	13.6	2.4
$C4(20) \times C4(20)$	10	82.7	56.2	45.7
sphere6	1	15.4	0.3	0.7
sphere6	10	14.8	2.5	5.1

## Summary & Future Work

- A new algorithm for constraint/generator removal
- Possible enhancements:
  - Use trees to speed up adjacency tests
  - Use some accelerating data structure to find the first intersection points between continuations of edges and facets of  $F_{adj}$
- Computational evaluation results:
  - The proposed algorithm is competitive to the incremental
  - Naive algorithm is worse, but not nearly as bad as in Amato, Scozzari & Zaffanella paper