Robust Machine Learning Optimization Models with Data Uncertainties

Panos M. Pardalos

Center for Applied Optimization (CAO), University of Florida, USA www.ise.ufl.edu/pardalos/

Laboratory of Algorithms and Technologies for Networks Analysis (LATNA) National Research University, Higher School of Economics, Russia https://nnov.hse.ru/en/latna/

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline



Introduction

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

- Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
- Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP
- Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)
- Numerical Experiments
- Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Numerical Experiments
- Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP
 - Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods
 - SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Conclusions

▲ □ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ .

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions

Introduction

In recent years, machine learning and data mining have an explosive growth with new developments in science and technology.

- The essentials of most machine learning and data mining techniques are optimization problems.
- Traditional machine learning models are dealing with data when the exact values are known.
- This talk considers the case when uncertainties exist in data.

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions

Introduction

Support Vector Machines (SVM) is one of the well known supervised classes of learning algorithms.

- It was proposed by Vapnik as a maximum-margin classifier.
- Basic SVM models are dealing with the situation that the exact values of the data points are known.
- When the data points are uncertain, different models have been proposed to formulate the SVM with uncertainties.

ヘロト ヘアト ヘヨト

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions

Introduction

- Robust SVM with Bounded Uncertainty
 - Trafalis et al. proposed a robust optimization model when the perturbation of the uncertain data is bounded by norm, where some efficient linear programming models are presented under certain conditions.
 - Ghaoui et al. derived a robust model when the uncertainty is expressed as intervals with support and extremum values.
 - Fan et al. studied a more general case for polyhedral uncertainties.

ヘロト ヘアト ヘヨト

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions

Introduction

- Chance Constrained SVM through Robust Optimization
 - The Chebyshev based model employs moment information of the uncertain training points.
 - The Bernstein bounds can be less conservative than the Chebyshev bounds since it employs both support and moment information, but it also makes a strong assumption that all the elements in the data set are independent.

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

- Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
- Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP
- Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)
- Numerical Experiments
- 3 Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Numerical Experiments
- Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP
 - Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods
 - SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Conclusions

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

Hard Margin SVM

Support Vector Machines (SVM) construct maximum-margin classifiers:

- A two-class dataset of *m* data points {x_i, y_i}^m_{i=1} with *n*-dimensional features x_i ∈ ℝⁿ and class labels y_i ∈ {±1}.
- For linearly separable datasets, there exists a hyperplane
 w[⊤]x + b = 0 to separate the two classes.
- The width between the margin lines $\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b = \pm 1$ is $\frac{2}{\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2}$.

Hard Margin SVM (SVM-HardMargin)

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

s.t. $y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \ge 1, i = 1, \dots, m$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

2

Soft Margin SVM

When two classes are not linearly separable:

 Soft margin SVM introduces non-negative slack variables *ξ_i* to measure the distance of data to the margin.

•
$$\xi_i = \max\{0, 1 - y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b)\}$$

When 0 < ξ_i < 1, the data is within margine but correctly classified; when ξ_i > 1, the data is misclassified.

Soft Margin SVM (SVM-SoftMargin)

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi_i} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$

s.t. $y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i, \quad \xi_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

3

Chance-Constrained SVM

When uncertainties exist in the data points:

- A two-class dataset of *m* uncertain training data points $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and corresponding labels $y_i \in \{\pm 1\}$.
- The Chance-Constrained Program (CCP) is to ensure the small probability of misclassification for the uncertain data.

Chance-Constrained SVM (SVM-CCP)

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi_i} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$

s.t. $\mathbb{P}\left\{ y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i + b) \leq 1 - \xi_i \right\} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \xi_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM

The exact probability distribution are often unknown:

- Only some properties of the distribution could be acquired, such as the first and second moments.
- The distributionally robust or ambiguous chance constraint is a conservative approximation of the original problem.
- Let *P* be the set of all probability distributions that have the known properties of *P*.

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM (SVM-RCCP)

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi_i} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$

s.t.
$$\sup_{\mathbb{P}\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}\left\{ y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i + b) \le 1 - \xi_i \right\} \le \varepsilon, \quad \xi_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

- Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
- Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP
- Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)
- Numerical Experiments
- B Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Numerical Experiments
- Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP
 - Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods
 - SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Conclusions

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

・ロ・ ・ 同・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

ъ

Moments Information

- Assume the first and second moment information of the random variables x
 i, are known.
- For random variable x̃_i, let μ_i = E[x̃_i] ∈ ℝⁿ be the mean vector and Σ_i = E[(x̃_i μ_i)(x̃_i μ_i)^T] ∈ Sⁿ be the covariance matrix.
- Combine the first and second moments Σ_i, μ_i into one matrix Ω_i:

$$\Omega_i = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{\Sigma}_i + oldsymbol{\mu}_i oldsymbol{\mu}_i^ op & oldsymbol{\mu}_i^ op & oldsymbol{\mu}_i \ oldsymbol{\mu}_i^ op & oldsymbol{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all probability distributions that have the same first and second moments.

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

3

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP

SVM SDP Model (SVM-SDP)

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi_i, \mathbf{N}_i, \alpha_i} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} & \alpha_i - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Trace}(\Omega_i \mathbf{N}_i) \geq 0, \ \xi_i \geq 0 \\ & \mathbf{N}_i \succeq 0, \ \mathbf{N}_i + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w} \\ \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w}^\top & y_i b + \xi_i - 1 - \alpha_i \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \end{split}$$

Theorem

(SVM-RCCP) is equivalent to (SVM-SDP).

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP

Proof Sketch

• For the
$$p = \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i + b) \le 1 - \xi_i \right\}$$
, define the indicator function $l(\mathbf{x}_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \le 1 - \xi_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

• Then *p* can be expressed by the following program:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{p} &= \sup_{\mathbb{P}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}_i) \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{x}_i\} d\mathbf{x}_i \\ \text{s.t.} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{x}_i\} d\mathbf{x}_i = 1 \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{x}_i\} d\mathbf{x}_i = \boldsymbol{\mu}_i \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{x}_i\} d\mathbf{x}_i = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i + \boldsymbol{\mu}_i \boldsymbol{\mu}_i \\ &\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{x}_i\} \ge 0 \end{split}$$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

프 > 프

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP

Proof Sketch (Continued)

• The dual of the program is:

$$p = \inf_{\mathbf{Z}_{i}, \mathbf{Z}_{i}, \mathbf{Z}_{i}} (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{i} + \mu_{i}\mu_{i}^{\top}) \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{i} + \mu_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{Z}_{i} + z_{0i}$$
s.t. $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{Z}_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i} + \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{Z}_{i} + z_{0i} \ge l(\mathbf{x}_{i}), \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
 $\mathbf{Z}_{i} \in \mathbb{S}^{n}, \mathbf{z}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, z_{0i} \in \mathbb{R}$
• The first constraint can be expressed in two constraints:
 $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{Z}_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i} + \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{z}_{i} + z_{0i} \ge 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
 $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{Z}_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i} + \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{z}_{i} + z_{0i} \ge 1, \quad \text{if } y_{i}(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_{i} + b) \le 1 - \xi_{i}$
• Let $\mathbf{M}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{i} & \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}_{i}^{\top} & z_{0i} \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}_{i}^{\top} & z_{0i} \end{bmatrix}, \Omega_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{i} + \mu_{i}\mu_{i}^{\top} & \mu_{i} \\ \mu_{i}^{\top} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
• The objective function becomes Trace($\Omega_{i}\mathbf{M}_{i}$)

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP

Proof Sketch (Continued)

•
$$\mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \mathbf{z}_i + z_{0i} \ge 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ becomes}$$

$$[\mathbf{x}_i^{\top}\mathbf{1}]\mathbf{M}_i[\mathbf{x}_i^{\top}\mathbf{1}]^{\top} \ge 0, \forall \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{ i.e. } \quad \mathbf{M}_i \succeq 0$$

• $\mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{x}_i^{\top} \mathbf{z}_i + z_{0i} \ge 1$, if $y_i(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i + b) \le 1 - \xi_i$ is equivalent that the following system has no solution $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{z}_i + z_{0i} - 1 < 0$$

$$y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + y_i b + \xi_i - 1 \le 0$$

• According to S-lemma, there exists a nonnegative number $\beta_i \ge 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{z}_i + z_{0i} - 1 + \beta_i (y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + y_i b + \xi_i - 1) \ge 0 \ \forall \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

ヘロン ヘアン ヘビン ヘビン

ъ

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP

Proof Sketch (Continued)

The dual program becomes: $p = \inf_{\mathbf{M}_i \ \beta_i} \operatorname{Trace}(\Omega_i \mathbf{M}_i)$ s.t. $\mathbf{M}_i \succ \mathbf{0}, \ \beta_i > \mathbf{0}$ $[\mathbf{x}_i^{\top}\mathbf{1}]\mathbf{M}_i[\mathbf{x}_i^{\top}\mathbf{1}]^{\top} - \mathbf{1} + \beta_i(\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{\xi}_i - \mathbf{1}) > \mathbf{0} \ \forall \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ The whole program becomes: $\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi_i,\mathbf{M}_i,\beta_i} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$ s.t. $\mathbf{M}_i \succ 0, \ \beta_i > 0, \ \xi_i > 0$ $\operatorname{Trace}(\Omega_i \mathbf{M}_i) \leq \varepsilon$ $[\mathbf{x}_i^{\top}\mathbf{1}]\mathbf{M}_i[\mathbf{x}_i^{\top}\mathbf{1}]^{\top} - \mathbf{1} + \beta_i(\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{\xi}_i - \mathbf{1}) > \mathbf{0} \ \forall \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP

Proof Sketch (Continued)

- To get rid of the bilinear terms in β_i(y_iw[⊤]x_i + y_ib + ξ_i − 1), first it could be verified that β_i cannot be zero since Trace(Ω_iM_i) ≤ ε, and 0 < ε < 1. If β_i = 0, then [x_i[⊤]1]M_i[x_i[⊤]1][⊤] ≥ 1 > ε, ∀x_i ∈ ℝⁿ, a contradiction. Therefore, β_i > 0.
- Then the constraints become

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Trace}(\Omega_i \frac{\mathbf{M}_i}{\beta_i}) - \frac{1}{\beta_i} \leq 0$$
$$[\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{1}] \frac{\mathbf{M}_i}{\beta_i} [\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{1}]^\top - \frac{1}{\beta_i} + (y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + y_i b + \xi_i - 1) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

Replace M_i/β_i with N_i ≥ 0, and 1/β_i with α_i > 0, the second constraint could further be expressed as a semidefinite constraint as:

$$\mathbf{N}_i + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w} \\ \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w}^\top & y_i b + \xi_i - 1 - \alpha_i \end{bmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{0}$$

• $\alpha_i > 0$ is guaranteed since $\mathbf{N}_i \succeq 0$ and $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Trace}(\Omega_i \mathbf{N}_i) - \alpha_i \leq 0$.

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

ъ

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP

Proof Sketch (Continued)

• The whole program becomes:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{b,\xi_i,\mathbf{N}_i,\alpha_i \\ b,\xi_i,\mathbf{N}_i,\alpha_i }} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} & \alpha_i - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \text{Trace}(\Omega_i \mathbf{N}_i) \geq 0, \ \xi_i \geq 0 \\ & \mathbf{N}_i \succeq 0, \ \mathbf{N}_i + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w} \\ \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w}^\top & y_i b + \xi_i - 1 - \alpha_i \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \end{split}$$

• This completes the proof of the (SVM-SDP) reformulation.

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP

The standard SDP formulation is

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi_i, \mathbf{N}_i, \alpha_i} & \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} & \alpha_i - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathsf{Trace}(\Omega_i \mathbf{N}_i) \geq 0, \ \xi_i \geq 0 \\ & \mathbf{N}_i \succeq 0, \ \mathbf{N}_i + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w} \\ \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w}^\top & y_i b + \xi_i - 1 - \alpha_i \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \\ & \begin{bmatrix} W\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{w}^\top & W \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \end{split}$$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

3

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SOCP

Theorem

The SDP constraints could yield the SOCP constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Trace}(\Omega_i \mathbf{N}_i) &\geq 0, \quad \mathbf{N}_i \succeq 0, \\ \mathbf{N}_i + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w} \\ \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w}^\top & y_i b + \xi_i - 1 - \alpha_i \end{bmatrix} &\geq 0 \\ \implies \quad y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i + \sqrt{\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{w}||_2 \end{aligned}$$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

= 990

SDP Constraints into SOCP Constraints

Proof Sketch

Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{split} \inf_{b,\xi_i,\mathbf{N}_i,\alpha_i} & y_i b + \xi_i - 1 \\ \text{s.t.} & \alpha_i - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Trace}(\Omega_i \mathbf{N}_i) \geq 0 \\ & \mathbf{N}_i \succeq 0 \\ & \mathbf{N}_i + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w} \\ \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w}^\top & y_i b + \xi_i - 1 - \alpha_i \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \\ \end{split}$$

$$\end{split} \text{Let } \gamma_i, \mathbf{C}_i, \overline{\mathbf{D}}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_i & \mathbf{d}_i \\ \mathbf{d}_i^\top & \mathbf{d}_{0i} \end{bmatrix} \text{ represent the dual variables of the constraints.}$$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

2

SDP Constraints into SOCP Constraints

Proof Sketch (Continued)

The Lagrangian is:

$$\begin{split} &\inf_{b,\xi_i,\mathbf{N}_i,\alpha_i} \sup_{\gamma_i \ge 0, \mathbf{C}_i \succeq 0, \overline{\mathbf{D}}_i \succeq 0} \mathscr{L}(\mathbf{w}, b, \xi_i, \mathbf{N}_i, \alpha_i, \gamma_i, \mathbf{C}_i, \overline{\mathbf{D}}_i) \\ &= y_i b + \xi_i - 1 - \gamma_i \left(\alpha_i - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Trace}(\Omega_i \mathbf{N}_i) \right) - \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{C}_i \mathbf{N}_i) \\ &- \operatorname{Trace}\left(\overline{\mathbf{D}}_i, \mathbf{N}_i + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w} \\ \frac{1}{2} y_i \mathbf{w}^\top & y_i b + \xi_i - 1 - \alpha_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= y_i b + \xi_i - 1 - \gamma_i \alpha_i + \frac{\gamma_i}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Trace}(\Omega_i \mathbf{N}_i) - \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{C}_i \mathbf{N}_i) - \operatorname{Trace}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}_i, \mathbf{N}_i) - y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{d}_i \\ &- d_{0i}(y_i b + \xi_i - 1 - \alpha_i) \\ &= (y_i b + \xi_i - 1)(1 - d_{0i}) - (\gamma_i - d_{0i})\alpha_i + \operatorname{Trace}\left(\frac{\gamma_i}{\varepsilon}\Omega_i - \mathbf{C}_i - \overline{\mathbf{D}}_i, \mathbf{N}_i\right) - y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{d}_i \end{split}$$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

SDP Constraints into SOCP Constraints

Proof Sketch (Continued)

• The dual function is finite if and only if $1 - d_{0i} = 0, \ \gamma_i - d_{0i} = 0, \ \frac{\gamma_i}{c} \Omega_i - \mathbf{C}_i - \overline{\mathbf{D}}_i = 0$

• Therefore,
$$\gamma_i = 1$$
 and $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Omega_i - \overline{\mathbf{D}}_i = \mathbf{C}_i \succeq 0$.

Then the dual problem is:

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\overline{\mathbf{D}}_i} & -y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{d}_i \\ \text{s.t.} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Omega_i \succeq \overline{\mathbf{D}}_i \succeq 0 \end{split}$$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

ヘロマ ヘビマ ヘビマ

SDP Constraints into SOCP Constraints

Proof Sketch (Continued)

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i} \sum_{i} + \mu_{i} \mu_{i}^{\top} & \mu_{i} \\ \mu_{i}^{\top} & 1 \end{array} \end{bmatrix}, \ \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{i} & \mathbf{d}_{i} \\ \mathbf{d}_{i}^{\top} & d_{0i} \end{bmatrix}, \ d_{0i} = 1, \ \text{and} \ \varepsilon > 0, \ \text{the} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{constraint} \ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Omega_{i} \succeq \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{i} \succeq 0 \ \text{is equivalent to} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{i} + \mu_{i} \mu_{i}^{\top} - \varepsilon \mathbf{D}_{i} & \mu_{i} - \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_{i} \\ \mu_{i}^{\top} - \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_{i}^{\top} & 1 - \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \mathbf{D}_{i} & \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_{i} \\ \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_{i}^{\top} & \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \end{array}$$

• According to Schur Complement Lemma, the above is equivalent to $\Sigma_i + \mu_i \mu_i^\top - \varepsilon \mathbf{D}_i - \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} (\mu_i - \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_i) (\mu_i - \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_i)^\top \succeq 0, \quad \varepsilon \mathbf{D}_i - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_i \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_i^\top \succeq 0$ i.e., $\Sigma_i + \mu_i \mu_i^\top - \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} (\mu_i - \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_i) (\mu_i - \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_i)^\top \succeq \varepsilon \mathbf{D}_i \succeq \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_i \mathbf{d}_i^\top$

• The above holds for some \mathbf{D}_i if and only if $\mathbf{\Sigma}_i + \boldsymbol{\mu}_i \boldsymbol{\mu}_i^\top \succeq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_i - \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_i) (\boldsymbol{\mu}_i - \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_i)^\top + \varepsilon \mathbf{d}_i \mathbf{d}_i^\top$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

SDP Constraints into SOCP Constraints

Proof Sketch (Continued)

Expand the constraint

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}^{\top} \succeq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}^{\top} - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{i}^{\top} - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{i}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}^{\top} + \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{i}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{i}^{\top} + \varepsilon\boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{i}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{i}^{\top} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}^{\top} - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{i}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{i}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}^{\top}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{i}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{i}^{\top} \end{split}$$

It is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_i \succeq rac{arepsilon}{1-arepsilon} (oldsymbol{\mu}_i - \mathbf{d}_i) (oldsymbol{\mu}_i - \mathbf{d}_i)^ op$$

• The dual problem becomes $\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbf{d}_i} & -y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{d}_i \\ \text{s.t.} & \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\Sigma}_i - (\boldsymbol{\mu}_i - \mathbf{d}_i) (\boldsymbol{\mu}_i - \mathbf{d}_i)^\top \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

SDP Constraints into SOCP Constraints

Proof Sketch (Continued)

• From the constraint, there is

$$y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \Big(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\Sigma}_i - (\boldsymbol{\mu}_i - \mathbf{d}_i) (\boldsymbol{\mu}_i - \mathbf{d}_i)^\top \Big) y_i \mathbf{w} \ge 0$$

• Since
$$y_i \in \{+1, -1\}, y_i^2 = 1$$
. Then
 $(y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_i - y_i \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{d}_i)^2 \leq \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i \mathbf{w}^\top$

Therefore,

$$-y_i \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{d}_i \leq \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{w}||_2 - y_i \mathbf{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mu}_i$$

The maximum value of −y_iw^Td_i is √(1-ε)/ε ||Σ_i^{1/2}w||₂ − y_iw^Tµ_i since d_i is the decision variable such that the equality could be obtained.

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

ъ

SDP Constraints into SOCP Constraints

Proof Sketch (Continued)

 Combine the primal problem and the result for the dual problem, it could yield that

$$\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{w}||_2 - y_i \boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_i \leq y_i b + \xi_i - 1$$

Or equivalently,

$$y_i(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\mu}_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i + \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{w}||_2$$

• This completes the proof of the SDP-SOCP transformation.

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SOCP

Multivariate Chebyshev Inequality

- Let x̃ ~ (μ, Σ) denote random vector x̃ with mean μ and convariance matrix Σ.
- The multivariate Chebyshev inequality states that for an arbitrary closed convex set S, the supremum of the probability that $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ takes a value in S is

$$\sup_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \sim (\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma})} \mathbb{P}\{\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in S\} = \frac{1}{1 + d^2}$$
$$d^2 = \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in S} (\mathbf{x} - \mu)^\top \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu)$$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SOCP

- For SVM constraint, the S = {y(w[⊤]x + b) ≤ 1 − ξ} is a half-space produced by a hyperplane and therefore a closed convex set.
- Using multivariate Chebyshev inequality, the SOCP reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) is

SVM SOCP Model (SVM-SOCP)

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi_i} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$
s.t. $y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i + \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{w}||_2$
 $\xi_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

- Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
- Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP
- Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)
- Numerical Experiments
- B Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Numerical Experiments
- Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP
 - Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods
 - SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Conclusions

Introduction Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Con

Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)

For each point x_i, it is no longer a single point, but an ellipsoid centered at μ_i, and shaped with the covariance matrix
 ¹/_ε Σ¹/_i:

$$\mathscr{E}\big(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}}\big) = \big\{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_i + \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{a}} : ||\boldsymbol{\mathsf{a}}||_2 \leq 1\big\}$$

The SOCP constraint is satisfied if and only if

$$y_i(\mathbf{w}^{ op}\mathbf{x}_i+b) \geq 1-\xi_i, \quad orall \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathscr{E}ig(\mu_i, \sqrt{rac{1-arepsilon}{arepsilon}}\mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{rac{1}{2}}ig)$$

• This transforms the (SVM-RCCP) into a robust optimization problem over the uncertainty set $\mathscr{E}(\mu_i, \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \Sigma_i^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for each uncertain training data point.

・ロット (雪) ・ (目)

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)



Panos M. Pardalos Robust

Robust Data Analysis

ъ

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation

- Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
- Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP
- Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)
- Numerical Experiments
- Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Numerical Experiments
- Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP
 - Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods
 - SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Conclusions

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

(日)

Numerical Experiments

- The model (SVM-SDP) and model (SVM-SOCP) are equivalent since they both use the exact supremum of the chance constraints $\sup \mathbb{P}\left\{y_i(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i + b) \leq 1 \xi_i\right\}$ and both based on the exact means and covariance matrices of the random data points.
- Numerical experiments in MATLAB using SeDuMi solver for both models on YALMIP platform also show that these two formulations would get the same result.
Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

э

Synthetic Data

+1 class: 2-d normal distribution with $\mu_{+} = [1, 1]^{\top}$, $\Sigma_{+} = I$ -1 class: 2-d normal distribution with $\mu_{-} = [-1, -1]^{\top}$, $\Sigma_{-} = I$ Each class has 50 points: 10 for training, 40 for test



Panos M. Pardalos Robust Data Analysis

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

Wisconsin Breast Cancer Data

Wisconsin breast cancer data from UCI dataset:

- 444 benign(+1) class data, 239 malignant (-1) class data
- 9-dimensional features
- Use PCA to show the first 2 principle components



Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

æ

Wisconsin Breast Cancer Data Classification Result

Table: 20% training, 80% test

	$\varepsilon = 0.01$	$\varepsilon = 0.05$	$\varepsilon = 0.1$	$\varepsilon = 0.2$
Test Set Accuracy	96.8±0.6%	96.4±1.0%	96.1±1.1%	96.1±1.2%
SDP Running Time	29.0±2.5	26.5±3.2	23.1±3.2	21.1±2.9
SOCP Running Time	1.3±0.2	1.2±0.3	1.2±0.3	1.2±0.3

Table: 80% training, 20% test

	$\varepsilon = 0.01$	$\varepsilon = 0.05$	<i>ε</i> = 0.1	$\varepsilon = 0.2$
Test Set Accuracy	97.4±0.9%	97.1±1.0%	97.0±1.1%	97.1±1.0%
SDP Running Time	155.6 ± 9.7	141.8±9.1	134.2±13.7	121.4±11.7
SOCP Running Time	3.3±0.1	4.1±0.2	$5.0{\pm}0.4$	$5.8{\pm}0.6$

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

3

Wisconsin Breast Cancer Data Classification Result



Wisconsin Breast Cancer Data

Panos M. Pardalos Robust Data Analysis

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

Ionosphere Data

Ionosphere data from UCI dataset:

- 225 for +1 good class, 126 for -1 bad class
- 34-dimensional data
- Use PCA to show the first 2 principle components



Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

æ

Extracted Ionosphere Data Classification Result

Table: 20% training, 80% test

	$\varepsilon = 0.02$	$\varepsilon = 0.05$	$\varepsilon = 0.1$	$\varepsilon = 0.2$
Test Set Accuracy	84.0±2.5%	84.4±2.1%	84.1±2.2%	84.2±2.2%
SDP Running Time	20.6±1.8	18.3±1.6	18.1±2.1	19.1±2.4
SOCP Running Time	1.1±0.2	1.1±0.3	1.0±0.3	1.0±0.4

Table: 80% training, 20% test

	$\varepsilon = 0.02$	$\varepsilon = 0.05$	<i>ε</i> = 0.1	$\varepsilon = 0.2$
Test Set Accuracy	86.9±3.6%	87.8±3.8%	87.2±3.9%	87.2±4.3%
SDP Running Time	107.4±7.6	97.9±7.3	96.0±10.0	95.7±8.3
SOCP Running Time	2.4±0.2	3.0±0.4	3.7±0.5	4.6±0.3

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP) Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

э

Extracted Ionosphere Data Classification Result

Introduction



Extracted Ionosphere Data

Panos M. Pardalos Robust Data Analysis

Introduction

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

- Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation
 - Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP
 - Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)
 - Numerical Experiments

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

- Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
- Numerical Experiments
- Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP
 - Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods
 - SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Conclusions

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 とくほとく ほとう

Estimation Errors

- In practice, the distribution properties are often unknown but need to be estimated from data.
- If an uncertain data point $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i = [\tilde{x}_{i1}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{in}]^\top$ has *N* samples $\mathbf{x}_{i_k}, k = 1, \dots, N$, then the sample mean $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{i_k}$ is used to estimate the mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i = \mathbf{E}[\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i]$, and the sample covariance $\mathbf{S}_i = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_{i_k} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) (\mathbf{x}_{i_k} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)^\top$ is used to estimate the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i = \mathbf{E}[(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^\top]$.
- However, these could cause possible estimation errors.
- Three special cases when the mean vector μ_i and covariance matrix Σ_i are not exactly known are discussed here.

Estimation Error I: $\mu_i \in [\mu_i^-, \mu_i^+]$, $\Sigma_i = S_i$

The interval of μ_i works for each element in the feature vector, i.e. $\mu_{ij} \in [\mu_{ij}^-, \mu_{ij}^+], j = 1, ..., n$.

(SVM-SOCP-Mu1)

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi_i,z_{ij}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$
s.t.
$$\sum_j z_{ij} + y_i b \ge 1 - \xi_i + \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{w}||_2$$

$$z_{ij} \le y_i \mu_{ij}^- w_j, \ z_{ij} \le y_i \mu_{ij}^+ w_j$$

$$\xi_i \ge 0, \ i = 1, \dots, m$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q ()

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Introduction} \\ \text{Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation} \\ \text{Estimation Errors and Performance Measures} \\ \text{Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM} \\ \text{Conclusions} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Estimation Errors and Performance Measures} \\ \text{Numerical Experiments} \\ \text{Estimation Error I: } \mu_i \in [\mu_i^-, \mu_i^+], \ \mathbf{\Sigma}_i = \mathbf{S}_i \end{array}$

- This case is applied when the confidence interval of μ_{ij} could be estimated.
- For a random variable \tilde{x}_{ij} with normal distribution, and *N* samples $x_{ij_k}, k = 1, ..., N$, the sample mean $\bar{x}_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_{ij_k}$, the unbiased sample variance $s_{ij}^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (x_{ij_k} \bar{x}_{ij})^2$, then $\frac{\bar{x}_{ij} \mu_{ij}}{s_{ij} / \sqrt{N}} \sim t_{N-1}$.
- The confidence interval of μ_{ij} is $\left[\bar{x}_{ij} t_{crit} \cdot s_{ij}/\sqrt{N}, \bar{x}_{ij} + t_{crit} \cdot s_{ij}/\sqrt{N}\right]$, where t_{crit} is the coefficient corresponding to the confidence level 1α and the degree of freedom N 1.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

Estimation Error I: $\mu_i \in [\mu_i^-, \mu_i^+]$, $\Sigma_i = S_i$

- For *n*-dimensional vector x

 *x

 i* ∈ ℝⁿ, the Bonferroni correction factor uses α/n instead of α for each of the n univariate confidence interval.
- The geometric interpretation of this case is that, for each point \mathbf{x}_i , it is replaced by a union of ellipsoids, with center varies in the hyper-rectangle $[\boldsymbol{\mu}_i^-, \boldsymbol{\mu}_i^+]$, and shaped with the covariance matrix $\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とうほ

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Introduction} \\ \text{Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation} \\ \text{Estimation Errors and Performance Measures} \\ \text{Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM} \\ \text{Conclusions} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Estimation Errors and Performance Measures} \\ \text{Numerical Experiments} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Estimation Errors and Performance Measures} \\ \text{Numerical Experiments} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Estimation Errors and Performance Measures} \\ \text{Numerical Experiments} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Estimation Errors and Performance Measures} \\ \text{Numerical Experiments} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$

- Since the Bonferroni correction is for the case when the random variables *x*_{ij} in *x*_i are independent, it would over-correct and result in lower *α* and larger robust region than it needs to be when they are not independent.
- The Hotelling's T-square test statistic T² = N(x̄ − μ)^TS⁻¹(x̄ − μ), and it has the property that ^{N-n}/_{n(N-1)}T² ~ F(n, N − n).
- Then the confidence region for μ_i is $T^2 \leq \frac{n(N-1)}{N-n}F_{crit}$, i.e., $(\mu_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)^{\top} \mathbf{S}_i^{-1}(\mu_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) \leq \frac{n(N-1)}{N(N-n)}F_{crit}$, where F_{crit} is the coefficient corresponding to the confidence level $1 - \alpha$ and the degree of freedom (n, N - n).

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Estimation Error II: $(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) \leq \nu_i^2, \, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i = \mathbf{S}_i$

- Let $\nu_i^2 = \frac{n(N-1)}{N(N-n)} F_{crit}$, the geometric interpretation is that, the mean vector μ_i varies in an ellipsoid centered at $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$ and shaped with $\nu_i \mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
- Then the uncertainty set for each point \mathbf{x}_i is a union of ellipsoids, with center varies in the ellipsoid $\mathscr{E}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i, \nu_i \mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}})$, and shaped with $\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
- This has a more concise form that the union of these ellipsoids is also an ellipsoid $\mathscr{E}\left(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{i}, \left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} + \nu_{i}\right)\mathbf{\Sigma}_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

Estimation Error II:
$$(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) \leq \nu_i^2, \, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i = \mathbf{S}_i$$

The model in this case is:

(SVM-SOCP-Mu2)

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi_i} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$
s.t. $y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i + \left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} + \nu_i\right) ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{w}||_2$
 $\xi_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

ъ

Estimation Error III: $\mu_i = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$, $||\mathbf{\Sigma}_i - \mathbf{S}_i||_F \le \rho_i$

The Frobenius norm is $||\mathbf{A}||_{F}^{2} = \text{Trace}(\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{ij} A_{ij}^{2}$. In this case, the uncertainty set becomes $\mathscr{E}\left(\mu_{i}, \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{i} + \rho_{i}I_{n})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$.

(SVM-SOCP-Cov)

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b,\xi_i} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$
s.t. $y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i + \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \left\| \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i + \rho_i I_n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{w} \right\|_2$
 $\xi_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$

Introduction

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions

Estimation Errors

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とう

3



Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Performance Measures

- Test Set Accuracy (TSA) is a direct way to evaluate the model performance.
- TSA is computed by counting the number of correctly predicted labels in the test data set and divided by the size of the test set.
- The class label y_i is decided by the sign($\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_i + b$).
- When there are replicates x_{ik} for the test point x_i, the class label y_i is decided by the majority label of the replicates sign(w[⊤]x_{ik} + b).

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

э.

Performance Measures

- Nominal Error and Optimal Error can also be used to evaluate the model performance.
- The nominal error is similar to TSA but the opposite, i.e., TSA + NomErr = 1.
- The expression for NomErr is:

NomErr =
$$\frac{\sum_{i} 1_{y_i^{pr} \neq y_i}}{\# \text{ test datapoints}} \times 100\%$$

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

Performance Measures

- The optimal error is based on the probability of misclassification.
- For $\sup_{\mathbb{P}\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}\{y_i(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i + b) \leq 0\} \leq \varepsilon$, it can be similarly transformed into $y_i(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\mu}_i + b) \geq \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{w}||_2$.

$$\varepsilon_{opt} = \frac{\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}_i \mathbf{w}}{(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mu}_i + b)^2 + \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}_i \mathbf{w}}$$

The OptErr of data point x_i is

$$\mathsf{OptErr}_{i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_{i}^{pr} \neq y_{i} \\ \varepsilon_{opt}, & \text{if } y_{i}^{pr} = y_{i} \end{cases}$$

The OptErr of the whole test set is

$$OptErr = \frac{\sum_{i} OptErr_{i}}{\text{\# test datapoints}} \times 100\%$$

Introduction

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

- Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation
 - Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP
 - Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)
 - Numerical Experiments

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

- Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
- Numerical Experiments
- Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP
 - Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods
 - SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Conclusions

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

Numerical Experiments

- The three estimation error cases $\mu_i \in [\mu_i^-, \mu_i^+]$, $(\mu_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)^\top \mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{-1} (\mu_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) \le \nu_i^2$, and $||\mathbf{\Sigma}_i - \mathbf{S}_i||_F \le \rho_i$ were experimented on the two norm data, the Wisconsin breast cancer data, and the lonosphere data.
- For each data point x_i, N = 50 replicates x_{ik}(k = 1,..., N) were generated with mean equal to the value of the data point x_i, and covariance equal to 0.01 times the covariance of the training dataset.
- For the two norm data, since we generated the data using Σ₊ = Σ₋ = I, the replicates generation covariance used 0.01I.

Introduction

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

イロト 不得 とくほと くほとう

3

Numerical Experiments

Two	$oldsymbol{\mu}_i = oldsymbol{ar{x}}_i$	$oldsymbol{\mu}_i \in [oldsymbol{\mu}_i^-,oldsymbol{\mu}_i^+]$	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} \in \mathscr{E}\left(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{i}, \nu_{i} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$	$oldsymbol{\mu}_i = oldsymbol{ar{x}}_i$
Norm	$\mathbf{\Sigma}_i = \mathbf{S}_i$	$\Sigma_i = S_i$	$\Sigma_i = S_i$	$ \mathbf{\Sigma}_i - \mathbf{S}_i _F \leq \rho_i$
NomErr	9.75±3.69%	9.63±3.42%	9.63±3.61%	9.69±3.82%
OptErr	12.44±3.92%	12.62±3.92%	12.46±3.84%	12.43±3.75%
Time	$0.59 {\pm} 0.10$	0.74±0.09	$0.59 {\pm} 0.09$	0.60±0.08
Breast	$\mu_i = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \in [\boldsymbol{\mu}_i^-, \boldsymbol{\mu}_i^+]$	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \in \mathscr{E}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i, \nu_i \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}})$	$\mu_i = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$
Cancer	$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i = \boldsymbol{S}_i$	$\mathbf{\Sigma}_i = \mathbf{S}_i$	$\mathbf{\Sigma}_i = \mathbf{S}_i$	$ \mathbf{\Sigma}_i - \mathbf{S}_i _F \leq \rho_i$
NomErr	4.16±1.03%	3.99±0.83%	4.07±0.92%	3.66±0.82%
OptErr	6.82±0.99%	6.61±0.88%	6.64±0.89%	6.26±0.75%
Time	1.94±0.24	6.18±0.77	2.01±0.26	2.10±0.28
lonosphere	$\mu_i = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$	$\mu_i \in [\mu_i^-, \mu_i^+]$	$\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \in \mathscr{E}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i, \nu_i \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}})$	$\mu_i = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$
Data	$\Sigma_i = S_i$	$\Sigma_i = S_i$	$\Sigma_i = S_i$	$ \mathbf{\Sigma}_i - \mathbf{S}_i _F \leq \rho_i$
NomErr	15.21±2.58%	15.60±2.17%	$15.55 \pm 2.41\%$	19.15±6.81%
OptErr	18.87±2.20%	18.92±2.15%	18.93±2.21%	22.02±5.76%
Time	1.35±0.13	5.98±0.69	1.38±0.16	1.37±0.18

Introduction

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions

Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Numerical Experiments

> < 臣> < 臣> <</p>

ъ

Numerical Experiments

Performance Measure Results Considering Estimation Errors



SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

- Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation
 - Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP
 - Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)
 - Numerical Experiments
- 3) Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Numerical Experiments

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM

- SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP
- Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods
- SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Conclusions

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

Primal-Dual Interior Point Method

- Primal-dual interior point methods were originally used for linear programming. These methods create the system of equations Au = b, A^Tv + s = c, and relax the complementarity conditions into u_is_i = μ, then apply Newton's method to solve the system.
- SeDuMi uses primal-dual interior-point method to solve conic linear program problems. In each iteration, a search direction (Δu, Δv, Δs) is computed and added to the current feasible solution (u, v, s) with the step length t > 0, and the next feasible solution (u⁺, v⁺, s⁺) is

$$(\mathbf{u}^+, \mathbf{v}^+, \mathbf{s}^+) = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{s}) + t(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{v}, \Delta \mathbf{s})$$

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Primal-Dual Interior Point Method

• The search direction $(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{v}, \Delta \mathbf{s})$ is defined by the following equations:

 $\Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{\Pi} \Delta \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{r}$ $\mathbf{A} \Delta \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{A}^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0}$

where Π is an invertible block diagonal matrix which satisfies $\Pi^{\top} \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{u}$.

- When setting r = −u, then (u⁺)^Ts⁺ = (1 − t)u^Ts. The duality gap is decreasing in each iteration.
- Instead of solving the system directly, ∆v can be solved by a reduced system. When r = -u, the reduced system is

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{A}^\top \Delta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{b}$$

After solving $\Delta \mathbf{v}$, then we can obtain $\Delta \mathbf{s} = -\mathbf{A}^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{v}$, $\Delta \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{\Pi} \Delta \mathbf{s}$.

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Primal-Dual Interior Point Method

Primal-Dual Interior Method in SeDuMi

Step 0: Initial solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{s}) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^m \times K$ with $A\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{c}$ such that $\lambda(P(\mathbf{u})^{1/2}\mathbf{s}) \in N$. Step 1: If $\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{s} \le \epsilon$ then STOP. Step 2: Choose Π and \mathbf{r} according to algorithmic settings. Compute the search direction $(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{v}, \Delta \mathbf{s})$. Determine the step length t > 0 s.t. $\lambda(P(\mathbf{u} + t\Delta \mathbf{u})^{1/2}(\mathbf{s} + t\Delta \mathbf{s})) \in N$. Step 3: Update $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{s}) \leftarrow (\mathbf{u} + t\Delta \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} + t\Delta \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{s} + t\Delta \mathbf{s})$ and return to Step 1.

The worst case iteration bound for SeDuMi is $O(\sqrt{\nu(K)}|\log \epsilon|)$ where $\nu(K)$ is the order of the cone K.

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

- Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation
 - Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP
 - Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)
 - Numerical Experiments
- Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Numerical Experiments
 - Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP
 - Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods
 - SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Conclusions

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人口 ア

Stochastic Gradient Descent Method

● For a two-class dataset of *m* data points {x_i, y_i}^m_{i=1} with *n*-dimensional features x_i ∈ ℝⁿ and respective class labels y_i ∈ {+1, -1}, the soft margin SVM can be expressed in this particular form:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b} f(\mathbf{w},b) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^m \max\{0, 1 - y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b)\}$$

• The second term is also called penalty function. The function $L(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) = \max\{0, 1 - y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b)\}$ decreases linearly for $y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \le 1$ and then remains 0, so it is a hinge function, its value is called the hinge loss.

 ∇

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Stochastic Gradient Descent Method

- For large scale data, gradient descent method has advantages. To minimize *f*, the gradient is computed, then the current **w** is moved in the direction opposite to the direction of the gradient.
- A constant η_t is chosen to be the fraction of the gradient that to be moved in each round. The gradient descent iteration is:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_t - \eta_t \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} f$$

• The gradient of *f* with respect to **w** can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{w}}f &= \mathbf{w} + C\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} L(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{i}) \\ &= \mathbf{w} + C\sum_{i=1}^{m} \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y_{i}(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_{i} + b) \geq 1 \\ -y_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Stochastic Gradient Descent Method

- The gradient descent method is also called batch gradient descent because all the training points are considered as a batch at each round. The problem of the batch gradient descent method is that to compute ∇_w*f*, it needs to go over all the *m* training data points. When the data size is large, it can be too time-consuming to visit every training point and often iterates many times before convergence.
- The stochastic gradient descent, on the other hand, considers one training point at a time and adjusts the current solution in the direction evaluated by the only training point:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} f_t = \mathbf{w} + Cm \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} L(\mathbf{x}_{i_t}, y_{i_t})$$

The training point $(\mathbf{x}_{i_t}, y_{i_t})$ can be selected randomly or according to some fixed strategy.

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

《曰》《御》《臣》《臣》 [臣]

Stochastic Gradient Descent Method

Batch Gradient Descent Method

Iterate until convergence:

Evaluate:
$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} f = \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} L(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$$

Update: $\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_t - \eta_t \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} f$

Stochastic Gradient Descent Method

Iterate until convergence: Evaluate: $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} f_t = \mathbf{w} + Cm \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} L(\mathbf{x}_{i_t}, y_{i_t})$ Update: $\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_t - \eta_t \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} f_t$

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

・ロット (雪) () () () ()

Stochastic Gradient Descent Method

The batch gradient descent method improves the value of the objective function at every step. The stochastic gradient descent method improves the value in a noisy way since it only considers one point at each iteration. The batch gradient descent method takes fewer iterations to converge, but in each iteration, it takes much longer to compute. In practice, the stochastic gradient descent method is much faster.

The computational cost of batch gradient descent method and stochastic gradient descent method is:

	BGD	SGD
Time per iteration	mn	n
Iterations to ϵ -accuracy	$\log(1/\epsilon)$	$1/\epsilon$
Time to ϵ -accuracy	$mn\log(1/\epsilon)$	n/ϵ

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Stochastic Gradient Descent Method

 As an application of the stochastic gradient descent method, Pegasos (Primal Estimated sub-GrAdient SOlver for SVM) studied the SVM problem in this form:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} f(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \max\{0, 1 - y_i \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i\}$$

On iteration *t*, a random training point (**x**_{i_t}, y_{i_t}) is chosen uniformly with *i*_t ∈ {1,..., *m*}. The objective function is approximated with the training point (**x**_{i_t}, y_{i_t}):

$$f_t(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w} + \max\{0, 1 - y_{i_t} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_{i_t}\}$$

• The step size is set to be $\eta_t = 1/(\lambda t)$. The update is:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow (1 - \frac{1}{t})\mathbf{w}_t + \eta_t \mathbf{1}_{[\mathbf{y}_{i_t}\mathbf{w}_t^\top \mathbf{x}_{i_t} < 1]} \mathbf{y}_{i_t} \mathbf{x}_{i_t}$$

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Stochastic Gradient Descent Method

Pegasos

Initialize $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ For t = 1, 2, ..., TChoose $i_t \in \{1, ..., m\}$ uniformly at random Set $\eta_t = 1/(\lambda t)$ If $y_{i_t} \mathbf{w}_t^\top \mathbf{x}_{i_t} < 1$, then Set $\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow (1 - 1/t)\mathbf{w}_t + \eta_t y_{i_t} \mathbf{x}_{i_t}$ Else $(y_{i_t} \mathbf{w}_t^\top \mathbf{x}_{i_t} \ge 1)$ Set $\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow (1 - 1/t)\mathbf{w}_t$

Pegasos can obtain an ϵ -accuracy solution for the primal problem in $\tilde{O}(1/\epsilon)$ iterations with the cost per iteration O(n).
SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

- Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation
 - Robust Chance-Constrained SVM
 - Reformulation of (SVM-RCCP) into SDP and SOCP
 - Geometric Interpretation of (SVM-SOCP)
 - Numerical Experiments
- 3 Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Estimation Errors and Performance Measures
 - Numerical Experiments

Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM

- SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP
- Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods

SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

Conclusions

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

1

Solving Large Scale SVM-RCCP

• The SOCP reformulation of robust chance-constrained SVM is:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi_i} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$
s.t. $y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i + \sqrt{\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{w}||_2$
 $\xi_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$

• Write the model in the form similar to gradient descent method:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},b} f(\mathbf{w},b) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \max \left\{ 0, 1 - y_i (\mathbf{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mu}_i + b) + \sqrt{\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i \mathbf{w} \right\}$$

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ○ ○ ○

Solving Large Scale SVM-RCCP

• For
$$L(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i, y_i) = \max\left\{0, 1 - y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_i + b) + \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i \mathbf{w}\right\}$$
, the gradient

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}, \boldsymbol{y}_{i}) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } y_{i}(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} + b) \geq 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{w}||_{2} \\ -y_{i}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} + \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}\mathbf{w}}{||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{w}||_{2}}, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For w_{t+1} ← w_t − η_t∇_wf_t, the gradient considered is 1/(Cm) of the original f:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} f_t = \frac{1}{Cm} \mathbf{w} + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} L(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i_t}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i_t}, \boldsymbol{y}_{i_t})$$

• The step size $\eta_t = Cm/t$.

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

ъ

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Solving Large Scale SVM-RCCP

SVM-RCCP SGD Method

Initialize
$$\mathbf{w}_{1} = \mathbf{0}, b_{1} = \mathbf{0}$$

For $t = 1, 2, ..., T$
Choose $i_{t} \in \{1, ..., m\}$ uniformly at random
Set $\eta_{t} = Cm/t$
If $y_{i_{t}}(\mathbf{w}_{t}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i_{t}} + b_{t}) < 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_{i_{t}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{w}_{t}||_{2}$, then
Set $\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow (1 - 1/t)\mathbf{w}_{t} + \eta_{t}\left(y_{i_{t}}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i_{t}} - \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}}\frac{\mathbf{\Sigma}_{i_{t}}\mathbf{w}_{t}}{||\mathbf{\Sigma}_{i_{t}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{w}_{t}||_{2}}\right)$
 $b_{t+1} \leftarrow b_{t} + \eta_{t}y_{i_{t}}$
Else $(y_{i_{t}}(\mathbf{w}_{t}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i_{t}} + b_{t}) \geq 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_{i_{t}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{w}_{t}||_{2})$
Set $\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow (1 - 1/t)\mathbf{w}_{t}$
 $b_{t+1} \leftarrow b_{t}$

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Numerical Experiments

• Three sets of data are used in the numerical experiments.

Wisconsin breast cancer data: 683 samples, 9-dimensional features lonosphere data: 351 samples, 34-dimensional features MAGIC Gamma Telescope data: 19020 samples, 10-dimensional features

- The sampling procedure is chosen to be sampling without replacement and new permutation is generated every epoch. In the experiments, the iterations goes 2 epochs, 10 epochs, and 50 epochs over the training data.
- As comparison, SeDuMi is used to solve the SOCP reformulation of robust chance-constrained SVM directly.

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

э.

Wisconsin Breast Cancer Data

Wisconsin Breast Cancer Data: 683 samples, 9-dimensional features

Table: 20% training, 80% test

	SeDuMi	SGD 2m	SGD 10 <i>m</i>	SGD 50 <i>m</i>
Time	0.857±0.063	0.013±0.002	0.034±0.001	0.146±0.002
TSA	96.16±0.98%	93.90±4.23%	96.09±1.27%	96.08±0.64%

Table: 80% training, 20% test

	SeDuMi	SGD 2m	SGD 10 <i>m</i>	SGD 50 <i>m</i>
Time	2.542±0.094	0.029±0.001	0.117±0.001	$0.564{\pm}0.007$
TSA	96.72±1.12%	95.84±2.12%	96.68±1.31%	96.70±1.28%

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

ъ

Wisconsin Breast Cancer Data



Wisconsin Breast Cancer Data

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

æ

Ionosphere Data

Ionosphere Data: 351 samples, 34-dimensional features

Table: 20% training, 80% test

	SeDuMi	SGD 2m	SGD 10 <i>m</i>	SGD 50 <i>m</i>
Time	0.728±0.053	0.010±0.000	0.024±0.001	$0.099{\pm}0.003$
TSA	83.56±2.50%	76.89±7.96%	81.09±4.63%	82.85±2.93%

Table: 80% training, 20% test

	SeDuMi	SGD 2m	SGD 10 <i>m</i>	SGD 50 <i>m</i>
Time	1.961±0.044	0.022±0.001	0.083±0.002	0.394±0.013
TSA	85.93±3.48%	80.71±5.07%	85.57±4.14%	85.64±4.33%

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

・ロット (雪) () () () ()

ъ

Ionosphere Data



Ionosphere Data

SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

MAGIC Gamma Telescope Data

MAGIC Gamma Telescope Data: 19020 samples, 10-dimensional features

Table: 20% training, 80% test

	SeDuMi	SGD 2m	SGD 10 <i>m</i>	SGD 50 <i>m</i>
Time	41.198±4.558	0.215±0.002	1.031±0.011	5.011±0.115
TSA	76.80±0.52%	64.29±6.61%	72.09±4.09%	74.35±3.87%

Table: 80% training, 20% test

	SeDuMi	SGD 2m	SGD 10 <i>m</i>	SGD 50 <i>m</i>
Time	-	0.850±0.028	4.061±0.075	20.105±0.654
TSA	-	67.47±6.49%	72.14±4.71%	74.68±3.39%

Robust Chance-Constrained SVM and Reformulation Estimation Errors and Performance Measures Solving Large Scale Robust Chance-Constrained SVM Conclusions SeDuMi Algorithms to Solve SDP and SOCP Large Scale Linear SVM Solving Methods SVM-RCCP SGD Method and Numerical Experiments

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

ъ

MAGIC Gamma Telescope Data



MAGIC Gamma Telescope Data

Conclusions

- This talk presents robust chance-constrained SVM with second-order moment information and obtains equivalent SDP and SOCP reformulations.
- Three types of estimation errors for mean and covariance matrix are considered and the corresponding formulations and techniques to handle these types of errors are presented.
- The method to solve robust chance-constrained SVM with large scale data is proposed based on stochastic gradient descent method to process big data.

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

References



Vapnik, V. N. Statistical Learning Theory. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1998.



Xanthopoulos, P., Pardalos, P. M., and Trafalis, T. B. *Robust Data Mining.* Springer, 2012.





Wang, X., Fan, N., and Pardalos, P. M. Robust Chance-Constrained Support Vector Machines with Second-Order Moment Information. *Annals of Operations Research* (2015), 1-24.



Wang, X., Fan, N., and Pardalos, P. M. Stochastic Subgradient Descent Method for Large-Scale Robust Chance-Constrained Support Vector Machines. *Optimization Letters* (2016), 1-12.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Thank You!

Panos M. Pardalos Robust Data Analysis

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

₹ 990