#### UF FLORIDA

## On Objective Function Representation Methods in Global Optimization

#### Panos M. Pardalos

Center for Applied Optimization, Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, University of Florida Gainesville, FL USA and

LATNA, National Research University Higher School of Economics

http://ww.ise.ufl.edu/pardalos

This talk is dedicated to the memory of my friend and colleague Chris Floudas



China, 2013



University of Florida, 2015

## UFIFICRIDA Decomposition Techniques General

- Decomposition techniques for solving optimization problems have been used as early as in the 1960's for linear mixed integer and convex optimization
- ➢ Well known techniques include:
  - Dantzig-Wolfe
  - Benders
- The choice of the decomposition (of objective function) influences the choice of the algorithm used to solve the corresponding optimization problem

#### **UF FLORIDA** Decomposition Techniques Overview

Separable optimization:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F_0(x)$$
  
s.t.  $F_i(x) \le b_i$ ,  $i = 1, ..., m$   
 $l_i \le x_i \le u_i$ ,  $i = 1, ..., m$   
where each  $F_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n F_{ij}(x_j)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, ..., m$ 

Factorable optimization:

See book:

Garth, McCormick, "Nonlinear Programming: Algorithms and Applications", 1983

# **UF FLORIDA** Decomposition Techniques

#### Overview

➤ Almost Block Separable Optimization:  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) = f_1(u, y) + f_2(v, y)$ where  $x = (u, v, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_3}, n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = n$  *y* are called complicated variables [usually  $n_1, n_2 \gg n_3$ ]

Let 
$$\varphi_1(y) = \min_u f_1(u, y), \varphi_2(y) = \min_v f_2(v, y)$$
  
Then the above problem is equivalent to:  
$$\min_y \varphi_1(y) + \varphi_2(y)$$

Note that if  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  are convex, then  $\varphi_1(y)$  and  $\varphi_2(y)$  are convex

## **UF FLORIDA** DC Optimization Problems

#### Overview

- Many powerful techniques in global optimization are based on the fact that many objective functions can be expressed as the difference of two convex functions (so called d.c. functions)
- ➢ If D(x) is an objective function in ℝ<sup>n</sup>, then the representation
   D(x) = p(x) q(x), where p, q are convex function is said to be a d.c. decomposition of D
- The space of d.c. functions is closed under many operations frequently encountered in optimization (*i.e.*, sum, product, max, min, etc)
- ➢ Hartman 1959: Every locally d.c. function is d.c.
- ➢ For simplicity of notation, consider the d.c. program:

$$\min f(x) - g(x)$$
  
s.t.  $x \in D$ 

where *D* is a polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with nonempty interior and *f* and *g* are *convex functions* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

# **UF FLORIDA** DC Optimization Problems

#### Overview

By introducing an additional variable t, the above problem can be converted into the equivalent problem of Global Concave Minimization:

$$\min t - g(x)$$
  
s.t.  $x \in D, f(x) - t \le 0$ 

with concave objective function t - g(x) and convex feasible set  $\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x \in D, f(x) - t \le 0\}.$ 

- ➤ If  $(x^*, t^*)$  is an optimal solution of the above program then  $x^*$  is an optimal solution of the initial d.c. program and  $t^* = f(x^*)$
- Therefore, any D.C. program can be solved by an algorithm for minimizing a concave function over a convex set.

## UF FLORIDA Continuous DC programming

> DC function:

A real-valued function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$  s.t.

$$f(x) = g(x) - h(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  and  $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  are convex functions

➢ DC program:

A program of the form:

 $\min f_0(x)$ s. t.  $f_i(x) \le 0$  , i = 1, 2, ..., n

where  $f_i(x)$  are convex functions (i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n)

• It is equivalent to the unconstrained DC program:  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) = g(x) - h(x)$ 

## UF FLORIDA Continuous DC programming

Subgradients:

A vector  $x^*$  is a subgradient of a convex function h at a point x if:  $h(z) \ge h(x) + \langle x^*, z - x \rangle$ 

- The subdifferential of h(x) is the set of all subgradients
- Conjugate functions:

A conjugate function  $h^*: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  of a convex function  $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is :

$$h^*(p) \coloneqq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \langle y, x \rangle - h(x) \}$$

- The conjugate function  $h^*(y)$  of a function h(x) is convex
- If h(x) is a closed proper convex function, then  $h^{**} = h$
- Given closed convex functions  $g, h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , it is:  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{g(x) - h(x)\} = \inf_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{h^*(p) - g^*(p)\}$

## UF FLORIDA Continuous DC programming

> DC Algorithm:

- Step 0 Find an inintial solution  $x^0 \in dom_R(g)$ . Set  $t \coloneqq 0$ .
- Step 1 Find  $p^{(t)} \in \partial_R h(x^{(t)})$ .
- Step 2 Find  $x^{(t+1)} \in \partial_R g^*(p^{(t)})$ , where  $g^*$  is the conjugate of g.
- Step 3 If  $f(x^{(t+1)}) = f(x^{(t)})$ , stop. Otherwise, set  $t \coloneqq t + 1$ , go to Step 1.

where  $x^{(t+1)} = \arg\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{g(y) - h(x^t) - \langle p, y - x^{(t)} \rangle \}$ 

#### UF FLORIDA

# Continuous relaxations for discrete DC programming

- > The positive support of  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is:  $supp^+(x) \coloneqq \{i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}: x_i > 0\}$
- > The indicator vector  $\chi_S$  is defined by:

$$\chi_S(i) = \begin{cases} 1, i \in S \\ 0, i \notin S \end{cases}$$

- There are two common discrete functions:
- $\succ$   $M^{\natural}$ -convex functions:
  - For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $i \in supp^+(x y)$ , function  $h: \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is  $M^{\natural}$ -convex if it satisfies:  $h(x) + h(y) \ge \min\{h(x - \chi_i) + h(x + \chi_i)\},$  $\min_{j \in supp^+(x-y)}\{h(x - \chi_i + \chi_i) + h(y + \chi_i - \chi_j)\}$
- $\succ$   $L^{\natural}$ -convex functions:
  - For all x, y ∈ Z<sup>n</sup>, function h: Z<sup>n</sup> → Z ∪ {+∞} is L<sup>β</sup>-convex if it satisfies:

$$h(x) + h(y) \ge h\left(\left\lceil \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rceil\right) + h\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{2} \right\rfloor\right)$$

## UFIFICRIDA Continuous relaxations for discrete DC programming

➤ Given two functions  $g, h: \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\},\$ 

- The effective domain of g is  $dom_Z g \coloneqq \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n : g(x) < +\infty\}$
- The convex closure  $\bar{g}(x): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  of g is:

 $\bar{g}(x) = \sup\{s(x): s \text{ is an affine function}, s(y) \le g(y)(y \in \mathbb{Z}^n)\}$ 

- A convex extension  $\hat{g} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  of g is a convex function with the same function value on  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$
- Let  $\tilde{f}(x) \coloneqq \bar{g}(x) \hat{h}(x)$ . Then  $\tilde{f}(x) \coloneqq g(x) h(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Thus:

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^n}g(x)-h(x)=\inf_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\tilde{f}(x)\geq\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\tilde{f}(x)$$

➤ For convex extensible functions  $g, h: \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  with  $dom_Z g$  bounded and  $dom_Z g \subseteq dom_Z h$ , it is:

$$\inf_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\{g(z)-h(z)\}=\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\{\bar{g}(x)-\hat{h}(x)\}$$

where  $\bar{g}(x)$  is the linear closure of g(x) and  $\hat{h}(x)$  is any convex extension of h(x)

## **UF FLORIDA** DI Optimization Problems

Monotonicity with respect to some variables (partial monotonicity) or to all variables (total monotonicity) is a natural property exhibited by many problems encountered in applications. The most general problem of d.i. monotonic optimization is:

 $\min f(x) - g(x)$ s.t.  $f_i(x) - g_i(x) \le 0$ , i = 1, ..., mwhere all functions are increasing in  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ 

- Assume without loss of generality that g(x) = 0
- $\forall i f_i(x) g_i(x) \le 0 \} \Leftrightarrow \max_{1 \le i \le m} \{ f_i(x) g_i(x) \} \le 0 \Leftrightarrow F(x) G(x) \le 0, \text{ where:}$

$$F(x) = \max_{i} \{f_i(x) + \sum_{i \neq j} g_j(x)\}, G(x) = \sum_{i} g_i(x)$$

 $\succ$  F(x) and G(x) are both increasing functions

## **UF FLORIDA** DI Optimization Problems

Problem reduces to:

$$\min f(x)$$
  
s.t.  $F(x) + t \le F(b)$ ,  
 $G(x) + t \ge F(b)$ ,  
 $0 \le t \le F(b) - F(0)$ ,  
 $x \in [0, b] \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$ 

- A set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n_+$  is normal if for any two points x, x' such that  $x' \leq x$ , if  $x \in G$ , then  $x' \in G$
- Numerous global optimization problems can be reformulated as monotonic optimization problems. Such problems include multiplicative programming, nonconvex quadratic programming, polynomial programming and Lipschitz optimization problems

#### UF FLORIDA

#### Decomposition and Multi-objective Optimization Overview

Consider the following problems:

 $\min_{\substack{x \in D \subseteq R^n}} F(x) = f_1(x) + \dots + f_m(x) \quad [1]$  $\min_{\substack{x \in D \subseteq R^n}} f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) \quad [2]$ [2] is a multi-objective optimization problemLet  $E(f, D) \subseteq D$  be the set of all Pareto optimal solutions in D

- Theorem: If  $\bar{x}$  is an optimal solution of problem [1], then  $\bar{x} \in E(f, D)$  of problem [2]
- ➤ <u>Theorem</u>: Let h<sub>i</sub>(t) be monotonic increasing functions for i = 1, ..., m. Consider the multi-objective optimization problem  $\min_{x \in D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n} h(x) = (h_1(f_1(x)), ..., h_m(f_m(x))) \quad [3]$ Then E(f, D) = E(h, D).

## UFIFICRIDA Kolmogorov's Superposition Overview

➤ <u>Theorem</u>: For any integer  $n \ge 2$  there are continuous real functions  $\psi^{p,q}(x)$  on the closed unit interval  $E^1 = [0,1]$  such that each continuous real functions  $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$  on the *n*-dimensional unit cube  $E^n$  is representable as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{q=1}^{2n+1} \chi_q [\sum_{p=1}^n \psi^{pq}(x_p)]$$

where  $\chi_q(y)$  are continuous real functions

For 
$$n = 3$$
, by setting:  
 $\varphi_q(x_1, x_2) = \psi^{1q}(x_1) + \psi^{2q}(x_2)$   
 $h_q(y, x_3) = \chi_q[y + \psi^{3q}(x_3)]$ 

we obtain from the above:

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{q=1}^7 h_q[\varphi_q(x_1, x_2), x_3]$$

- An undirected connected graph G = (V, E) with at least k + 1 vertices is k-vertex-connected if it remains connected whenever fewer than k vertices are removed
- > The problem of finding a minimum weight *k*-vertex-connected subgraph is NP-hard when  $k \ge 2$
- The minimal k-connected spanning subgraph problem is considered instead
- Boros *et al* considered how to generate all minimal k-vertexconnected spanning subgraphs in incremental polynomial time
- We consider a routing system characterized by a minimal 2vertex-connected spanning subgraph with some high-degree vertices.
  - We name it the degree-concentrated maximum faulttolerant spanning subgraph problem (MAX-DFSS)
  - Finding a maximum weight of minimal 2-vertex-connected spanning subgraph is also hard to solve

## MAX-DFSS Problem

#### Formulation

UF FLORIDA

Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), we need to find a minimal 2-connected spanning subgraph. The aim is to maximize the sum of the squares of the degree of each vertex:

$$\max \sum_{v \in V} \deg_S(v)^2$$

s.t. S: minimal 2-connected spanning subgraph

- This problem is the fault tolerant version of the problem of fault tolerant version for the degree-concentrated spanning tree problem (DST) of (Maehara et al, 2015)
- It can be applied in spanning tree routing system (Tanenbaum, 2010).
- In (Maehara et al, 2015), the monitoring of network communications is considered

#### Algorithms

- ➤ Greedy algorithm for the MAX-DFSS problem:
  - Input. 2-connected graph G = (V, E)
  - Output. A minimal 2-connected spanning subgraph  $S \subseteq G$
  - Step 1  $S \leftarrow G$
  - Step 2 Repeat

Step 2.1 If S is minimal 2-connected, go to step 3. Step 2.2 Select an edge  $e^* \in$ 



Step 2.3 
$$S \leftarrow S - e^*$$
  
• Step 3 Output S

#### **DC Formulation**

- ➢ Now we formulate the problem as a DC program.
  Let *M* ∈ ℝ<sup>|V|×|E|</sup> be the incidence matrix for graph *G*.
- ▶ Let  $x_e \in \{0,1\}, \forall e \in E$  indicate whether edge *e* is chosen into the subgraph or not.

For any  $x \in \{0,1\}^{|E|}$  we obtain a subgraph  $S = \{e \in E : x_e = 1\}$  $Mx = (\deg_S(v_1), \deg_S(v_2), \dots, \deg_S(v_n))^T$ 

- ➤ The objective function  $\sum_{v \in V} \deg_S(v)^2$  can be formulated as  $x^T A x$ , where  $A = M^T M \in \mathbb{R}^{|E| \times |E|}$ . A is positive semi-definite.
- ➤ The problem becomes:

max  $x^T A x$ s.t. { $e \in E: x_e = 1$ }is a minimal 2-connected spanning subgraph

 If g(x) = 
 {
 0, {e ∈ E: x<sub>e</sub> = 1}is a minimal 2-connected spanning subgraph +∞, otherwise
 the problem is equivalent to min g(x) - x<sup>T</sup>Ax
 x∈{0,1}<sup>n</sup> g(x) - x<sup>T</sup>Ax

#### **DC** Algorithm

- > DC algorithm for the MAX-DFSS problem:
  - Step 0 Choose  $x^{(0)} \in dom_R(g)$  to be an initial solution. Set  $t \coloneqq 0$
  - Step 1 Compute  $p^{(t)} \in \partial h(x^{(t)})$
  - Step 2 Compute  $x^{(t+1)} \in \partial g^*(p^{(t)})$ , i.e.,,  $x^{(t+1)} = \arg\min_{y} \{g(y) - \langle p^{(t)}, y \rangle\}$
  - Step 3 If  $f(x^{(t+1)}) = f(x^{(t)})$ , go to Step 4. Otherwise, set  $t \coloneqq t + 1$ , go to Step 1
  - Step 4 Call Greedy algorithm for the MAX-DFSS on the graph represented by  $x^{(t)}$ .

## MAX-DFSS Problem

#### **Complexity Evaluation**

UF FLORIDA

- ➤ x<sup>(t+1)</sup> can be obtained by solving a maximum weighted minimal 2connected spanning subgraph with edge weight  $p_e^{(t)}$  for  $e \in E$
- ➢ Instead, we can find a 2-connected subgraph with at most 2|V| − 2 edges and the total weight is at least 0.5 times the maximum total weight of minimal 2-connected spanning subgraph.
- ➤ Lemma: Suppose  $S^*$  is a maximum weight minimal 2-connected spanning subgraph of *G* and  $T^*$  is a maximum weight spanning tree of  $S^*$ . Then  $p(T^*) \ge p(S^*)/2$ .
- > Therefore, the complexity of the following algorithm is  $O(|V|^3)$

#### Algorithms

- > Algorithm for the MAX-DFSS problem:
  - Input 2-connected graph G = (V, E, p) where p is the edge weight vector
  - Output 2-connected spanning subgraph  $S \subseteq G$  with at most 2|V| edges
  - Step 1 Find out a maximum spanning tree T of G by Prim algorithm
  - Step 2  $S \leftarrow T$
  - Step 3 Repeat

Step 3.1 Perform block decomposition for S. If it has only one block, go to step 4.

Step 3.2 Search the maximal weighted edge  $e \in E \setminus S$  striding over different blocks

Step 3.3  $S \leftarrow S + e$ 

• Step 4 Output S

#### **Computational results**

Computational results can be found in:

Solving the degree-concentrated fault-tolerant spanning subgraph problem by DC programming Chenchen Wu, Yishui Wang, Panos M. Pardalos, Dachuan Xu, Zhao Zhang, Ding-Zhu Du (submitted, 2017)

