



Higher order traps in quantum control landscapes

B. O. Volkov ¹, A. N. Pechen ²

Keywords: quantum control, control landscape, traps, quantum gate.

MSC2020 codes: 81P65, 81P16

Quantum control, that is control of single quantum systems such as atoms or molecules, attracts now high interest both due to fundamental reasons and applications in modern quantum technologies [1]. Controlled dynamics of an N -level closed quantum system (H_0, V) is described by the Schrödinger equation.

$$i\frac{dU_t^f}{dt} = (H_0 + f(t)V)U_t^f, \quad U_{t=0}^f = \mathbb{I}. \quad (1)$$

Here H_0 and V ($[H_0, V] \neq 0$) are free and interaction Hamiltonians respectively (i.e., Hermitian $N \times N$ -matrices), $f \in L_2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ is coherent control, and $T > 0$ is some target time. A typical quantum control problem can be formulated as the problem of maximizing the objective functional. In our talk, we consider the following Mayer type quantum control objective functionals:

1. Let O be a quantum observable (system's Hermitian operator) and ρ_0 an initial quantum density matrix (so that $\rho_0 \geq 0$ and $\text{Tr}(\rho_0) = 1$). The objective functional for the expectation of the system observable O is:

$$J_O[f] = \text{Tr}(OU_T^f \rho_0 U_T^{f\dagger}) \rightarrow \max. \quad (2)$$

2. The objective functional for generation of a quantum gate $W \in \text{SU}(N)$ is:

$$J_W[f] = \frac{1}{N^2} |\text{Tr}(W^\dagger U_T^f)|^2 \rightarrow \max. \quad (3)$$

The goal of global optimization is to find a control which realizes global maximum of the objective. For global optimization, an important question for a controllable system is to establish whether or not the objective has trapping behaviour [2]. *Trap* for an objective functional is a point of local but not global optimum of this functional. The analysis for traps is important since traps, if they exist, determine the level of difficulty for the search for globally optimal controls. If $N = 2$ and $[H_0, V] \neq 0$ then the quantum system (H_0, V) is completely controllable. In this case, the absence of traps was proved in [3,4] for large times. In [5,6], some examples of third order traps were discovered for special N -level degenerate quantum systems with $N \geq 3$. Traps were discovered for some systems with $N \geq 4$ in [7].

In this talk, for the problem of controlled generation of single-qubit phase shift quantum gates we show that control landscape for small times is free of traps [8]. We also discuss the detailed structure of the quantum control landscape for this problem [9]. For the problem of maximizing or minimizing the expectation of a system observable O , we introduce the notion of *trap of n -th order*. We find the conditions under which the control landscape for a strongly degenerate controllable N -level system has trap of the order $2N - 3$ with $N \geq 3$ [10]. It

¹Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Department of Mathematical Methods for Quantum Technologies, Russia, Moscow. University of Science and Technology MISIS, Quantum Engineering Research and Education Center, Russia, Moscow. Email: borisvolkov1986@gmail.com

²Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Department of Mathematical Methods for Quantum Technologies, Russia, Moscow. University of Science and Technology MISIS, Quantum Engineering Research and Education Center, Russia, Moscow. Email: apechen@gmail.com

is known that this special quantum system is completely controllable [11,12]. Properties of control landscapes for open quantum systems are related to optimization on complex Stiefel manifolds [13].

Acknowledgments. The work is partially supported by the project of the Russian Science Foundation grant No. 22-11-00330 and «Priority 2030» federal leadership program.

References

- [1] C.P. Koch, U. Boscain, T. Calarco, G. Dirr, S. Filipp, S.J. Glaser, R. Kosloff, S. Montangero, T. Schulte-Herbrüggen, D. Sugny, F.K. Wilhelm. *Quantum optimal control in quantum technologies. Strategic report on current status, visions and goals for research in Europe.* // EPJ Quantum Technol. 2022. Vol. 9. Art. No. 19.
- [2] H.A. Rabitz, M.M. Hsieh, C.M. Rosenthal. *Quantum optimally controlled transition landscapes.* // Science. 2004. Vol. 303. P. 1998–2001.
- [3] A. Pechen, N. Il'in. *Trap-free manipulation in the Landau-Zener system.* // Phys. Rev. A. 2012. Vol. 86. Art. No. 052117.
- [4] A.N. Pechen, N.B. Ilin. *On extrema of the objective functional for short-time generation of single-qubit quantum gates.* // Izv. Math. 2016. Vol. 80. No. 6. P. 1200–1212
- [5] A.N. Pechen, D.J. Tannor. *Are there traps in quantum control landscapes?* // Phys. Rev. Lett. 2021. Vol. 106. Art. No. 120402.
- [6] A.N. Pechen, D.J. Tannor. *Quantum control landscape for a Lambda-atom in the vicinity of second-order traps.* // Isr. J. Chem. 2012. Vol. 52. P. 467–472.
- [7] P. de Fouquieres, S.G. Schirmer. *A closer look at quantum control landscapes and their implication for control optimization.* // Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quant. Probab. Rel. Top. 2013. Vol. 16. 1350021.
- [8] B.O. Volkov, O.V. Morzhin, A.N. Pechen. *Quantum control landscape for ultrafast generation of single-qubit phase shift quantum gates.* // J. Phys. A. 2021. Vol. 54. Art. No. 215303.
- [9] B.O. Volkov, A.N. Pechen. *On the detailed structure of quantum control landscape for fast single qubit phase-shift gate generation.* // Izv. RAN. Ser. Mat. (to appear).
- [10] B.O. Volkov, A.N. Pechen. *Higher order traps for some strongly degenerate quantum control systems.* // Uspekhi Mat. Nauk (to appear).
- [11] S.G. Schirmer, H. Fu, A.I. Solomon. *Complete controllability of quantum systems.* // Phys. Rev. A. 2001. Vol. 63. Art. No. 063410.
- [12] S.A. Kuznetsov, A.N. Pechen. *On controllability of a highly degenerate four-level quantum system with a «chained» coupling Hamiltonian.* // Lobachevskii J. Math. 2022. Vol. 43. No. 7. P. 1683–1692.
- [13] V.N. Petruhanov, A.N. Pechen. *Quantum gate generation in two-level open quantum systems by coherent and incoherent photons found with gradient search.* // Photonics. 2023. Vol. 10. No. 2. Art. No. 220.